Existence of projective variety with arbitrary set of characteristic numbers

A. Y. Buryak

It is known that Chern characteristic numbers of compact complex manifolds cannot have arbitrary values. They satisfy certain divisability conditions, for example (see, e.g., [5])

$$2 \mid \langle c_1(X), [X] \rangle, \text{ for } dim X = 1,$$
$$12 \mid \langle c_1^2(X) + c_2(X), [X] \rangle, \text{ for } dim X = 2,$$
$$24 \mid \langle c_1(X) c_2(X), [X] \rangle, \text{ for } dim X = 3.$$

W. Ebeling and S. M. Gusein-Zade ([1]) gave a definition of characteristic numbers of singular compact complex analytic varieties. For an n-dimensional singular analytic variety X, let $\nu \colon \widehat{X} \to X$ be its Nash transform and let $\widehat{T}X$ be the tautological bundle over $\widehat{X}$ ([1]). If X is embedded in a smooth manifold M, then over the nonsingular part of X there is a section of $Gr_n(TM)$, given by the tangent space to X. The Nash transform $\widehat{X}$ is the closure in $Gr_n(TM)$ of the image of this section. The bundle $\widehat{T}X$ is the restriction to $\widehat{X}$ of the tautological bundle over $Gr_n(TM)$. By $\widehat{X}_0$ we shall denote $\nu^{-1}(X_{reg})$. Let the variety X be compact. For a partition $I = i_1, \ldots, i_r, i_1 + \ldots + i_r = n$ of n the corresponding characteristic number $c_I[X]$ of the variety X is defined by

$$c_I[X] := \left\langle c_{i_1}(\widehat{T}X) c_{i_2}(\widehat{T}X) \cdots c_{i_r}(\widehat{T}X), \left[\widehat{X}\right] \right\rangle,$$

where $\left[\widehat{X}\right]$ is the fundemental class of the variety $\widehat{X}$. Let $\overline{c}[X]$ be the vector $(c_I[X]) \in \mathbb{Z}^{p(n)}$, where $p(n)$ is the number of partitions of n.

Theorem 1. *For any vector $\overline{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{p(n)}$ there exists a projective variety X of dimension n such that $\overline{c}[X] = \overline{v}$.*

The following fact will be used in the proof. Let V be an algebraic variety. R. MacPherson ([6]) defined the local Euler obstruction $Eu_p(V)$ of the variety V at a point p. He proved that it is a constructible function on the variety V. Denote this function by $Eu(X)$.

Lemma 1. *Let X be a compact algebraic variety of dimension n; then $c_n[X]$ is equal to the following integral with respect to the Euler characteristic*

$$c_n[X] = \int_X Eu(X)d\chi.$$

Proof. For any consructible function α on the variety X R. MacPherson ([6]) defined an element $c_*(\alpha) \in H_*(X)$. From his construction it follows that

$$c_n[X] = \int_X c_*(Eu(X)),$$

where the integral means the degree of the class $c_*(Eu(X))$. L. Ernström ([4]) proved that for any constructible function α on the variety X

$$\int_X \alpha d\chi = \int_X c_*(\alpha).$$

Lemma 1 follows from these two formulas. □

Proof of Theorem 1. We need some combinations of characteristic numbers (see, e.g., [7]). Define two monomials in $t_1, \ldots, t_k$ to be equivalent if some permutation of $t_1, \ldots, t_k$ transforms one into the other. Define $\sum t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_r^{i_r}$ to be the summation of all monomials in $t_1, \cdots, t_k$ which are equivalent to $t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_r^{i_r}$. For any partition $I = i_1, \ldots, i_r$ of n, define a polynomial s_I in n variables as follows. For $k \geq n$ elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ of $t_1, \ldots, t_k$ are algebraically independent. Let s_I be the unique polynomial satisfying

$$s_I(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) = \sum t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_r^{i_r}.$$

This polynomial does not depend on k. Let F be a complex vector bundle over a topological space Y. For any partition I of n the cohomology class $s_I(c_1(F), \ldots, c_k(F)) \in H^{2n}(Y)$ will be denoted by $s_I(F)$. For a compact analytic variety X of dimension n and a partition I of n let the number $s_I[X]$ be defined as follows

$$s_I[X] := \left\langle s_I(\widehat{T}X), \left[\widehat{X}\right] \right\rangle.$$

Let $\overline{s}[X]$ be the vector $(s_I[X]) \in \mathbb{Z}^{p(n)}$. We have the following relationship between the vectors $\overline{c}[X]$ and $\overline{s}[X]$ (see, e.g., [7]). There exists a $p(n) \times p(n)$

2

matrix A with integer coefficients and $det(A) = \pm 1$ such that for any compact analytic variety X of dimension n one has $\bar{c}[X] = A\bar{s}[X]$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that for any vector $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{p(n)}$ there exists a projective variety X such that $\bar{s}[X] = \bar{v}$.

For two complex bundles F, F' the characteristic class $s_I(F \oplus F')$ is equal to

$$s_I(F \oplus F') = \sum_{JK=I} s_J(F)s_K(F') \tag{1}$$

to be summed over all partitions J and K with union JK equal to I ([7]).

Let X_1, X_2 be two compact analytic varieties and $\nu_1 \colon \widehat{X_1} \to X_1, \nu_2 \colon \widehat{X_2} \to X_2$ be their Nash transforms. It is clear that the map $(\nu_1, \nu_2) \colon \widehat{X_1} \times \widehat{X_2} \to X_1 \times X_2$ is the Nash transform of $X_1 \times X_2$. Let $p_{1,2} \colon \widehat{X_1} \times \widehat{X_2} \to \widehat{X_{1,2}}$ be projections; then $\widehat{T}(X_1 \times X_2) = p_1^*\widehat{T}X_1 \oplus p_2^*\widehat{T}X_2$. Let n_1 and n_2 be dimensions of X_1 and X_2. Let I be a partition of $n_1 + n_2$. From (1) it follows that

$$s_I[X_1 \times X_2] = \sum_{\substack{JK=I \\ |J|=n_1 \\ |K|=n_2}} s_J[X_1]s_K[X_2]. \tag{2}$$

Lemma 2. *For any $i \geq 1$ there exist projective varieties K_+^i and K_-^i of dimension i such that $s_i[K_\pm^i] = \pm 1$.*

We will prove Lemma 2 later. Let $J = j_1, \ldots, j_q$ be a partition of n. Let

$$K_+^J = K_+^{j_1} \times K_+^{j_2} \times \cdots \times K_+^{j_q},$$
$$K_-^J = K_-^{j_1} \times K_+^{j_2} \times \cdots \times K_+^{j_q}.$$

As an immediate generalization of (2) we have

$$s_I[K_\pm^J] = \sum_{\substack{I_1 \cdots I_q=I \\ |I_l|=j_l}} s_{I_1}[K_\pm^{j_1}] \cdots s_{I_q}[K_+^{j_q}].$$

A refinement of a partition J means any partition which can be written as a union $J_1 \cdots J_q$ where each J_l is a partition of j_l. Consider the lexicographical order on partitions. It is obvious that if I is a refinement of J then $I \leq J$. We see that the characteristic number $s_I[K_\pm^J]$ is zero unless the partition I is a refinement of J, hence $s_I[K_\pm^J] = 0$, if $I > J$. We have $s_I[K_\pm^I] = \pm 1$. Now it is clear that the vectors $\bar{s}[K_\pm^J]$ generate the whole lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{p(n)}$ as a semigroup. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

Proof of Lemma 2. It is known that for any smooth compact algebraic variety W of dimension n there exists a smooth compact algebraic variety V of dimension n such that for any partition I of the number n we have $c_I[V] = -c_I[W]$ ([8]). Denote the variety V by $-W$. We have ([7])

$$s_n[\mathbb{CP}^n] = n + 1. \tag{3}$$

We see that existence of a variety K_-^n immediately follows from existence of a variety K_+^n because $s_n[(-\mathbb{CP}^n) + nK_+^n] = -1$. We also see that it is sufficient to construct a projective variety $\widetilde{K}_+^n$ such that $s_n[\widetilde{K}_+^n] \equiv 1 \mod n + 1$.

Let $n = 1$. Let $\widetilde{K}_+^1$ be the closure in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ of the semicubic parabola $\{x^2 - y^3 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. From Lemma 1 and properties of the local Euler obstruction ([6]) it follows that $s_1[\widetilde{K}_+^1] = c_1[\widetilde{K}_+^1] = 3 \equiv 1 \mod 2$.

Let us construct varieties $\widetilde{K}_+^n$ for any $n \geq 2$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{CP}^{N-1}$ be a smooth subvariety of dimension $n - 1$. Let $CX \subset \mathbb{CP}^N$ be the cone over X. Let $h \in H^2(\mathbb{CP}^{N-1})$ be the hyperplane class.

Lemma 3. *Suppose the element $h|_X \in H^2(X)$ is divisible by d; then*

$$s_n[CX] \equiv n s_{n-1}[X] \mod d.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{F}_{i_1,\ldots,i_s}$ be the variety consisting of flags $(V^{i_1}, \ldots, V^{i_{s-1}})$ with $V^{i_1} \subset \cdots \subset V^{i_{s-1}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{i_s}$ and $dim V^{i_k} = i_k$. Denote by D_{i_k} the tautological bundle over $\mathbb{F}_{i_1,\ldots,i_s}$. Let p be a point of $\mathbb{CP}^N$ and let $V \subset T_p\mathbb{CP}^N$ be a d-dimensional subspace. Denote by $g(V)$ the unique d-dimensional projective subspace of $\mathbb{CP}^N$ such that $p \in g(V)$ and $T_p(g(V)) = V$. Let $G \subset \mathbb{CP}^N$ be a d-dimensional projective subspace. By $k(G)$ denote the associated $(d+1)$-dimensional vector subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{CP}^N$ be an n-dimensional subvariety. Consider the map

$$\sigma \colon Y_{reg} \to \mathbb{F}_{1,n+1,N+1}, Y_{reg} \ni p \mapsto (k(p), k(g(T_pY_{reg}))) \in \mathbb{F}_{1,n+1,N+1}.$$

By definition the closure $\overline{\sigma(Y_{reg})}$ is the Nash transform of Y. The bundle $\widehat{T}Y$ is isomorfic to $Hom(D_1, (D_{n+1}/D_1))|_{\widehat{Y}}$.

Let $\widehat{X} \subset \mathbb{F}_{1,n,N}$ and $\widehat{CX} \subset \mathbb{F}_{1,n+1,N+1}$ be the Nash transforms of X and CX. Consider the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{F}_{1,2,n+1,N+1} & \xrightarrow{\ \pi_2\ } & \mathbb{F}_{1,n+1,N+1} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \\
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{F}_{1,n,N} & \xrightarrow{\ i\ } & \mathbb{F}_{2,n+1,N+1}
\end{array}
$$

where π_1, π_2 are the natural projections and the map i is defined by the formula

$$i\colon (V^1, V^n) \mapsto (V^1 \oplus k(O), V^n \oplus k(O)),$$

where $O \in \mathbb{CP}^N$ is the center of the cone CX. Obviously the map i is injective. Let $Y = \pi_1^{-1}(i(\widehat{X}))$.

Lemma 4. *The image of Y under the map π_2 is $\widehat{CX}$. The map $\pi_2\colon Y \to \widehat{CX}$ is birational.*

Proof. Denote by $\overline{pq}$ the line, which goes through two different points $p, q \in \mathbb{CP}^N$. From the definition of the variety Y it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
Y &= \{\, (L, k(q) \oplus k(O), k(g(T_q X)) \oplus k(O)) \in \mathbb{F}_{1,2,n+1,N+1}| \\
&\qquad q \in X, L \subset k(q) \oplus k(O)\} = \\
&= \{\, (k(p), k(q) \oplus k(O), k(g(T_q X)) \oplus k(O)) \in \mathbb{F}_{1,2,n+1,N+1}| \\
&\qquad q \in X, p \in CX, p \in \overline{qO}\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Note that if $p \neq O$ then q is uniquely determined by p. Denote this subset of Y by Y'.

It is clear that for any point $p \in CX - O$ we have

$$k(g(T_p CX)) = k(g(T_{\overline{pO} \cap X} X)) \oplus k(O).$$

We see that for any element $(V^1, V^{n+1}) \in \widehat{CX} \subset \mathbb{F}_{1,n+1,N+1}$ there exist points $p \in CX$ and $q \in X$ such that $p \in \overline{qO}$ and

$$V^1 = k(p), \quad V^{n+1} = k(g(T_q X)) \oplus k(O). \tag{5}$$

Note that points p and q are not uniquely determined by the element (V^1, V^{n+1}).

The map π_2 just forgets the second element of the triple from (4) and it is clear that we obtain the pair (V^1, V^{n+1}) from (5). This completes the proof of the first part of the lemma.

Note that if $(V^1, V^{n+1}) \in \widehat{CX}_0$ then points p and q from (5) are uniquely determined. We see that if $(V^1, V^{n+1}) \in \widehat{CX}_0$ then $p \neq O$ and $q = \overline{pO} \cap X$. Now it is clear that the map π_2 maps Y' isomorfically onto $\widehat{CX}_0$. This concludes the proof of the second part of the lemma. $\square$

By $\widetilde{D}_i$ we denote tautological bundles over $\mathbb{F}_{2,n+1,N+1}, \mathbb{F}_{1,2,n+1,N+1}, \mathbb{F}_{1,n+1,N+1}$. By D_i we denote tautological bundles over $\mathbb{F}_{1,n,N}$. We have

$$s_n[CX] = \Big\langle s_n(\widehat{T}(CX)), \big[\widehat{CX}\big] \Big\rangle = \Big\langle s_n(\widetilde{D}_1^* \otimes (\widetilde{D}_{n+1}/\widetilde{D}_1)), \big[\widehat{CX}\big] \Big\rangle \overset{\text{lemma 4}}{=\joinrel=}$$
$$= \Big\langle s_n(\widetilde{D}_1^* \otimes (\widetilde{D}_{n+1}/\widetilde{D}_1)), [Y] \Big\rangle.$$

5

The map $\pi_1\colon \mathbb{F}_{1,2,n+1,N+1} \to \mathbb{F}_{2,n+1,N+1}$ is the projectivization $\mathbb{P}\widetilde{D}_2$ of the bundle $\widetilde{D}_2$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2,n+1,N+1}$. We have that $i^*\widetilde{D}_2 \cong D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and $i^*\widetilde{D}_{n+1} \cong D_n \oplus \mathbb{C}$. We see that the variety Y is the projectivization of the bundle $D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}$ over $\widehat{X}$. By τ we denote the tautological bundle over this projectivization. It is clear that $\tau = \widetilde{D}_1\big|_Y$.

$$\tau$$
$$\downarrow$$
$$\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}) \rule[0.5ex]{2em}{0.4pt} Y$$
$$\downarrow {\scriptstyle \pi_1}$$
$$\widehat{X}$$

Therefore we have

$$\left\langle s_n(\widetilde{D}_1^* \otimes (\widetilde{D}_{n+1}/\widetilde{D}_1)), [Y] \right\rangle = \left\langle s_n(\tau^* \otimes ((D_n \oplus \mathbb{C})/\tau)), [\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C})] \right\rangle.$$

We have

$$s_n(\tau^* \otimes ((D_n \oplus \mathbb{C})/\tau)) = s_n(\tau^* \otimes ((D_n/D_1) \oplus D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C})) =$$
$$= s_n(\tau^* \otimes (D_n/D_1)) + s_n(\tau^* \otimes D_1) + s_n(\tau^*) =$$
$$= s_n(\tau^* \otimes D_1 \otimes (D_1^* \otimes (D_n/D_1))) + s_n(\tau^* \otimes D_1) + s_n(\tau^*) =$$
$$= s_n(\tau^* \otimes D_1 \otimes \widehat{T}X) + s_n(\tau^* \otimes D_1) + s_n(\tau^*).$$

Let $c_1(\tau^*) = u \in H^2(\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}))$. We have $u^2 = uh$. Therefore from the assumption of the lemma it follows that for any $k \geq 2$ the element $u^k \in H^2(\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}))$ is divisible by d. Hence we have

$$\langle s_n(\tau^* \otimes D_1), [\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C})] \rangle = \langle (u-h)^n, [\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C})] \rangle \equiv 0 \mod d,$$
$$\langle s_n(\tau^*), [\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C})] \rangle = \langle u^n, [\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C})] \rangle \equiv 0 \mod d.$$

Let $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ be Chern roots of the bundle $\widehat{T}X$. Then $x_1+u-h, \ldots, x_{n-1}+u-h$ are Chern roots of the bundle $\tau^* \otimes D_1 \otimes \widehat{T}X$. Hence

$$\left\langle s_n(\tau^* \otimes D_1 \otimes \widehat{T}X), [\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C})] \right\rangle =$$
$$= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i + u - h)^n, [\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C})] \right\rangle \equiv \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i + u)^n, [\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C})] \right\rangle \equiv$$
$$\equiv \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^n + nu \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^{n-1}, [\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C})] \right\rangle \mod d.$$

The class $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^n \in H^{2n}(\widehat{X})$ is equal to zero because $dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\widehat{X}) = 2n - 2$. Therefore

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^n + nu \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^{n-1}, [\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C})] \right\rangle = \left\langle nus_{n-1}(\widehat{T}X), [\mathbb{P}(D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C})] \right\rangle =$$

$$= n \left\langle (\pi_{1*}u)s_{n-1}(\widehat{T}X), \left[\widehat{X}\right] \right\rangle = n \left\langle s_{n-1}(\widehat{T}X), \left[\widehat{X}\right] \right\rangle = ns_{n-1}[X].$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3. $\square$

Let $X = \mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{CP}^{\binom{2n}{n-1}-1}$ be the Veronese embedding of degree $n+1$. Let $\widetilde{K}_+^n = CX$. From (3) and lemma 3 it follows that $s_n[\widetilde{K}_+^n] \equiv n^2 \equiv 1$ mod $n+1$. This concludes the proof of Lemma 2. $\square$ $\square$

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to professor S. M. Gusein-Zade for suggesting the problem and for constant attention to this work.

References

[1] W. Ebeling, S. M. Gusein-Zade. Chern obstructions for collections of 1-forms on singular varieties, In: Singularity Theory. Proceedings of the 2005 Marseille Singularity School and Conference (D. Cheniot et al., eds), World Scientific, Singapore 2007, 557-564.

[2] W. Ebeling, S. M. Gusein-Zade. Indices of collections of 1-forms, In: Singularities in Geometry and Topology. Proceedings of the Trieste Singularity Summer School and Workshop 2005 (J.-P. Brasselet et al., eds.), World Scientific, Singapore 2007, 629-639.

[3] W. Ebeling, S. M. Gusein-Zade. Indices of vector fields or 1-forms and characteristic numbers. Bull. London Math. Soc. 37, 2005, 747-754.

[4] L. Ernström. Topological Radon transforms and the local Euler obstruction. Duke Math. J. 76, 1994, 1-21.

[5] F. Hirzebruch. Topological methods in algebraic geometry. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.

[6] R. MacPherson. Chern classes of singular algebraic varieties. Ann. of Math. 100, 1974, 423-432.

[7] Milnor J.W., Stasheff J.D. Characteristic classes. AMS 076, Princeton University Press, 1974.

[8] R. E. Stong. Notes on cobordism theory. Princeton University Press, 1968.